## Safety of the Suprachoroidal Injection Procedure Utilizing SCS Microinjector<sup>®</sup> across Three Retinal Disorders

Shree Kurup, MD, FACP Director, Division of Vitreoretinal Diseases, Surgery and Ocular Immunology and Uveitis, University Hospitals



**Co-Authors:** Colette Hall, MD<sup>1</sup> Barry Kapik, MS<sup>1</sup> Thomas Ciulla MD MBA<sup>1</sup>



The 44th Virtual Annual MACULA SOCIETY MEETING

1 7 2021

## **Financial Disclosures**

- SK: Allergan C, Clearside (this talk) none other, Alimera C, Regeneron G, I CROWD C
- CH: Clearside Biomedical, Employment & Shareholder
- BK: Clearside Biomedical, Employment & Shareholder
- TC: Clearside Biomedical, Employment & Shareholder



# Suprachoroidal Injection (SCI) with the SCS Microinjector®





# Core Advantages of Treating Via the Suprachoroidal Space







#### TARGETED

The back of the eye is the location of many irreversible and debilitating visual impairments<sup>1</sup>

#### COMPARTMENTALIZED

Drug is compartmentalized in the suprachoroidal space, which helps keep it away from non-diseased tissues<sup>2</sup>

#### BIOAVAILABLE

Fluid spreads circumferentially and posteriorly when injected within the suprachoroidal space, bathing the choroid and adjacent areas with drug<sup>3</sup>

#### for efficacy

for safety

#### for durability



PK = pharmacokinetic | Sources: 1. Rai UDJ, Young SA, Thrimawithana TR, et al. The suprachoroidal pathway: a new drug delivery route to the back of the eye. Drug Discov Today. 2015;20(4):491-495. 2. Chiang B, Jung JH, Prausnitz MR. The suprachoroidal space as a route of administration to the posterior segment of the eye. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2018;126:58-66. 3. Moisseiev E, Loewenstein A, Yiu G. The suprachoroidal space: from potential space to a space with potential. Clin Ophthalmol. 2016;10:173-178.

## **Methods**

- Safety data collected from datasets from 8 clinical trials and 3 disease states
  - SCI Group: Subjects who received 1+ SCI (4.0 mg CLS-TA) as monotherapy (n=161) or w/ IVT anti-VEGF (n=460)
  - Control: Subjects who received an IVT + sham SCI (n=449)
- Treatment emergent adverse events (TEAES) occurring on the day of SCI were considered temporally related, regardless of whether Investigators reported them as such.

Noninfectious Uveitis	Diabetic Macular Edema	<b>Retinal Vein Occlusion</b>
DOGWOOD PEACHTREE AZALEA	HULK TYBEE	TANZANITE SAPPHIRE TOPAZ

SCI = suprachoroidal injection

# **Results: Serious Adverse Events (SAEs)**

### **SCI Group**

- No SAEs involving lens injury, suprachoroidal hemorrhage, endophthalmitis, retinal tears in any patient receiving 1 or more SCI
- 3 SAEs of interest, all deemed "not related" by masked investigator
  - NIU: Retinal detachment (n=1)
    - Occurred 8 weeks post-injection in different quadrant than SCI
  - RVO: reduced vision (n=2)

## Control (IVT + sham SCI)

- In control group: 3 SAEs of interest, all deemed "not related" by masked investigator
  - RVO: retinal detachment, vitreous hemorrhage, endophthalmitis



## **Results: Treatment Emergent Adverse Events**

Both groups: No serious TEAEs involving the study eye and no cases of lens injury, suprachoroidal hemorrhage, endophthalmitis, retinal tear, or retinal detachment were reported on the day of injection.

SCI Group: Incidence of Eye Pain or Discomfort, by Disease State									
NIU	DME	RVO							
11.3% (n=17)	0% (n=0)	6.0% (n=25)							

### Control (IVT + sham SCI, RVO and DME)

- 1.6% of subjects experienced a TEAE
- associated with eye pain or discomfort

SCI = suprachoroidal injection;

		Table 1	:	Most Com	mon Ad	verse Re	acti	ons (≥	1%) in	We	t AMD Stud	ies		
		Adverse Reactions			Baseline to Week 52				Baseline to Week 96					
					EYLEA (N=1824) Active Control (ranibizumab) (N=595)   25% 28%   9% 9%		EYLEA (N=1824)	Control (ranibizumab) (N=595)						
		Conjunct	Conjunctival hemorrhage Eye pain Cataract				28%		27%		30%			
		Eye pain					9%			10%		10%		
		Cataract				7%	n 7%			13%		10%		
Table 2:	Most Co	ommon A	dver	se Reaction	ns (≥1%	6) in RV	O S	tudie	s				8%	
Adverse Reactions			CRVO		] ]			BR	BRVO			10%		
			E	YLEA	Cor (N-	11101 (142)	EYLEA (N-91)			Control		11%		
Eye pain		(1	13% 5		%		4%		5%			10%	_	
Conjunctival he	Table 3	3: M	lost C	ommon Ad	verse R	eactions	(≥1	.%) ir	DME	Stu	dies	-	070	T
Intraocular pres	Adverse Reaction		s	Ba	Week 52		Baseline to Wee		k 100					
Corneal epitheli				EYLE (N=578	A 8)	Con (N=2	Control (N=287)		I EYLH ) (N=57		A 8)	Control (N=287)		
_	Conjunctival hemorrhage Eye pain Cataract Vitreous floaters			28% 9% 8% 6%		17% 6% 9% 3%		31% 11% 19% 8%			21	21% 9% 17% 6%		
											9			
											17			
											6			

## Conclusion

The safety profile of SCIs is comparable to IVT injections alone for events occurring at or after the procedures.

 Across 8 clinical trials involving NIU, DME and RVO, either as monotherapy or in conjunction with IVT injection



