# Post Hoc Analysis of Suprachoroidal CLS-TA versus Real World Rescue Therapies for Uveitic Macular Edema: Safety and Visual Function

#### Steven Yeh, MD<sup>1</sup>

Colette Hall, MD<sup>2</sup> Thomas A. Ciulla, MD, MBA<sup>2</sup>



39<sup>th</sup> Annual Scientific Meeting October 8 – 12, 2021

1. Truhlsen Eye Institute, University of Nebraska Medical Center 2. Clearside Biomedical, Inc.

### **Financial Disclosures**

#### Yeh: **Consultant / Advisory Board** Bausch and Lomb Clearside Biomedical Adverum Regenxbio

#### ard Grant Support

National Institutes of Health (R01 EY029594) Woodruff Health Sciences Center Synergy Grant Macula Society Retina Research Award Stanley M. Truhlsen Family Foundation

Hall & Ciulla: Clearside Biomedical, Inc. (Employee & Shareholder)

# PEACHTREE: Phase 3, Randomized, Controlled, Double-Masked, Multicenter Trial

**CLS-TA:** Proprietary triamcinolone acetonide for suprachoroidal injection



### The Suprachoroidal Space (SCS) Targeted and Compartmentalized Delivery



# **Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

#### Inclusion

- Diagnosis of macular edema with central subfield thickness ≥300 microns on SD-OCT
- Noninfectious uveitis of any associated diagnosis/etiology
- Any anatomic location: anterior, intermediate, posterior and panuveitis
- Visual acuity: 20/800 to 20/40 (≥5 to ≤70 ETDRS letters)

#### Exclusion

- Any active ocular disease or infection in the study eye other than uveitis
- Intraocular pressure >22 mmHg or uncontrolled glaucoma; patients ≤22 mmHg could be on up to 2 IOP-lowering medications

#### Subjects could have active or controlled uveitis at enrollment

# **PEACHTREE: Met Primary Efficacy Endpoint**

**Primary Endpoint:** Subjects gaining ≥15 BCVA letters from baseline at Week 24, %



Intention-to-treat population; Last Observation Carried Forward imputation.

The p-value is based on a Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test for general association between treatment and response with stratification by country.



IOP-Related Events Not Temporally Associated with the Injection Procedure	CLS-TA N = 96	Control N = 64
Elevated IOP adverse events	11 (11.5%)	10 (15.6%)
IOP elevation ≥10 mmHg change from baseline at any visit*	9 (9.4%)	7 (10.9%)
IOP elevation ≥30 mmHg absolute reading at any post baseline visit*	5 (5.2%)	4 (6.3%)
Given any additional IOP-lowering medication	7 (7.3%)	6 (9.4%)
Any surgical intervention for an elevated IOP Adverse Event	0	0

One serious ocular AE

- Retinal detachment 8 weeks after CLS-TA, in different quadrant from injection
- Deemed unrelated to study drug by the investigator

No cases of endophthalmitis or choroidal detachment

Comparable cataract rate: 7.3% (7/96) in the CLS-TA arm vs. 6.3% (4/64) in the sham arm

Safety population; includes patients in the control group who received rescue medication \*Based on elevated intraocular pressure adverse reactions

### Rescue Therapy Rates: CLS-TA (13.5%) vs. Control (71.8%)

#### Most Targeted (Localized) Subsequent Medication\* Used Rates, CLS-TA vs. Control



\*Rescue medications classified by most targeted type of therapy used during study, were:

Intravitreal Corticosteroid > Periocular corticosteroid > Topical Corticosteroid > Systemic Corticosteroid > Topical NSAID

#### Post-Hoc Analysis.

## Sub-Analysis by Rescue Status in PEACHTREE

**Purpose:** To compare outcomes between CLS-TA and real-world rescue therapies **Methods:** VA and safety in unrescued CLS-TA versus rescued control group

Two (2) subgroups analyzed:

	Unrescued	Rescued
CLS-TA	n=83/96 (86.5%)	n=13/96 (13.5%)
Control	n=18/64 (28.1%)	n=46/64 (71.9%)

# Visual acuity in unrescued CLS-TA: Greater mean BCVA and more 3-line gainers at week 24

≥ 15 Letter Improvement from Baseline in BCVA at Week 24







# Significantly greater mean reduction in CST was observed for unrescued CLS-TA subjects versus rescued control subjects



<u>At Week 24:</u> CST reduction* in	
Unrescued CLS-TA subjects Rescued control subjects	= 174.0 μm = 148.5 μm

(95% CI for difference -88.2 to -2.0 μm, P=0.040)

\*Subjects who completed the study with gradable images

## Safety and Adverse Events

	Unrescued CLS-TA n = 83	<b>Rescued Control</b> n = 46
% of subjects with ≥1 TEAE	48.2%	63.0%
AEs related to elevated IOP	10.8%	21.7%
Incidence of Cataract	4.8%	8.7%
IOP-related surgical interventions	None	None

## Conclusion

- CLS-TA subjects vs. Rescued control subjects:
  - Significantly greater reduction in CST
  - Trended towards greater BCVA improvement
  - Lower incidence of IOP elevation and cataract
- Post hoc analysis represents a "real world" mix of rescue treatments, with limitations in terms of sample size and variable rescue treatment